ODP-82-1820 21 December 1982

MEMORANDUM FOR:	Chairman DDA BCD Danel	25 X 1
FROM:	Chairman, DDA R&D Panel	25 X 1
r ROM.	ODP Representative DDA R&D Panel	20/1
SUBJECT:	FY 1985 R&D Planning Cycle (U/AIUO)	
REFERENCE:	MF, DDA Plans Officer, fm. Chief, Planning Division, PRS/DDS&T, dtd. 23 Nov. 1982, Same Subject, (DDS&T-4090-82	25X1
of thirty-two prisubmitted by the the proposed S&T undersigned. Of adequately address response to the rof twelve additionare adequately adproposals are, in DDA problems of addressed are list be asked to take their responses on the that fully fifteen ranked DI Communications Staddressed. Serio	erence consists of DDS&T R&D solutions to the se ioritized FY 1985 R&D problems previously DDA R&D Panel. Key ODP personnel have reviewed solutions and provided their evaluation to the the ten problems sponsored by ODP, seven are ssed in the S&T response; the S&T proposals in remaining three require further clarification. onal problems of direct interest to ODP, eight ddressed. In the four remaining cases, the S&T n my judgment, not truly responsive. The seven ODP interest that have not been adequately sted in Attachment I. I believe the DDS&T should another look at these problems and re-justify or (in one case) non-response. It should be one-third of the S&T responses to the top DA problems are in question. In particular, trategies (ranked DDA #3) was not adequately ous consideration should be given by the DDS&T to rees to support the DDA in this important area.	đ
R&D proposals. I DDA problem(s), I I have ranked sol towards the botto Lab Facility and former is an expe	chment II, I have evaluated and ranked the S&T I have used as a general guide the ranking of the I believe the proposed solutions truly support. lutions that do not directly address DDA problems om. The standouts, in that regard, are Computer Advanced Text Processing and Retrieval. The ensive project to develop a contractor-managed oratory. It is not specifically tied in with any	s
CONFIDENTIAL when	n SECRET	25X1

25X1

separated from attachments.

	25X
3. Some comments on the R&D planning cycle process are also order. It would be most valuable if, in the future, the DDS&T mented specifically on each DDA problem statement, providing a rationale behind proposed solutions, particularly when the nk is tenuous or obscure. They should also provide information to why certain obvious solutions are not being proposed (e.g., adding limitations, lack of expertise, work being done sewhere, etc.) A better understanding of the rationale behind	
r proposals would aid the DDS&T ranking process.	25X
4. A second point that should be made to the DDS&T is that fairer scheme for assigning R&D dollar costs to directorates ould be used. Dollar costs should be allocated among onsoring directorates. If that were the case, and non-sponsive R&D solutions were eliminated, DDA dollar costs would considerably lower and more accurately reflect true DDA	
pport.	25 X
5. Finally, as you know, S&T R&D solutions are in flux due discussions among DDA R&D Panel members, particularly the OS presentatives, and ORD and OTS project officers. This morandum has only addressed the S&T responses presented in the ference. Overall, I would evaluate the proposed R&D solutions moderately responsive. It is apparent, however, from	
scussions with S&T personnel, that it may be possible to tivate the DDS&T to modify some of their solutions so they are en more responsive to DDA R&D requirements.	25X
en more responsive to bbn kab requirements	25X1

Attachments: a/s

DISTRIBUTION: DD/ODP C/MS DD/A DD/P C/SPS C/SPD/P (21Dec82)(RANDD)(v-2disk)25X1 ODP: DISTRIBUTION: 1 - ADDRESSEE 2 - O/D/ODPMS Chrono حر 1 - MS Subject File 2 - ODP Registry

